| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|---|--|
| | 10/633,015 | PAVLOVSKAIA ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | YOGESH PATEL | 3732 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: | <u> </u> |
| (1) <u>YOGESH PATEL</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>MICHAEL T. ROSATO</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: <u>26 May 2010</u> | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | cant's representative) | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: NONE | | |
| Claims discussed: 21 | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: Claim 21 includes means plus function language; however no sapplicant's rep. agreed to cancel this claim. | strucutral support found for the me | ans in the specification. The |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENI | ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA | AS DISCUSSED: |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa | he examiner will provide a writ record of the substance of the | tten summary of the substance e interview, since the interview |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| /YOGESH PATEL/ | | |
| Examiner, Art Unit 3732 | (Applicant/Applicant's Representa | ative Signature – if appropriate) |